(2)

SINGLE BENCH (4)

CF/1002

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR CHHATTISGARH

WRIT PETITION (S) NO. 4606 /2006

PETITIONER : Ram Roop Sahu,
S/O. Shri Kishan Sahu,
aged about - 63 years.
(Retired Employee)
R/o : Village : Kailashpur,
Post : Deo Nagar,
Police Station : Ramanujnagar,
Tehsil : Surajpur,
Distt. : Surguja (C.G.)

P.R. No. 4644/06
Presented by Shri C.P. Lahre
dated 24/8/06

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

1. State of Chhattisgarh,
Through - The Secretary,
Public Health and Family Welfare
Department
D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur
Distt. - Raipur (C.G.)

2. The Director,
Health Services
Raipur (C.G.)

3. The Chief Medical & Health
Officer,
Ambikapur, Surguja,
Distt. : Surguja (C.G.)

4. The Collector,
Surguja,
Distt. : Surguja (C.G.)

OATH COMMISSIONER
CG. 17/8/06

Rina
24/08/06
RECEIVED-COPY
CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

(3)

(5)

5. The Senior Account Officer,
Through : Office of Accountant
General Raipur (C.G.)

6. The Joint Director,
Treasure and Account Bilaspur
Distt. Bilaspur. (C.G.)

7. The District Treasurar Officer,
Ambikapur, Surguja,
Distt : Surguja (C.G.)

8. The Block Medical Officer,
Ramanujnagar, Tehsil : Surajpur
Distt. : Surguja (C.G.)

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

XI-HC-78

(19)

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक W.P.4606/ सन् 2006

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

30-8-2006

Mr. Pushpendra Kumar Patel, counsel for the petitioner.

Mr. Satish Gupta, Deputy Govt. Advocate for the State/respondents, on advance copy.

Heard both the counsel.

Main grievance raised by the petitioner in this writ petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India is that the petitioner retired from service on 31st March, 2004 from the post Surveillance Worker, Health Department, but his retiral dues are not being paid, as also his pension is not being fixed by the respondent authorities.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that even the provisional pension is also not being paid to the petitioner which is evident from Annexure P-3. In the circumstances, learned counsel further submits that respondent No.2 be directed to decide the pension case of the petitioner at the earliest and all the dues be paid to the petitioner, to which learned counsel appearing for the State/respondents has no objection.

The petitioner retired as back as on 31st March, 2004 about 2 years 5 months back and on account of insensitivity on the part of the respondent authorities, the petitioner, who was a low paid employee, his case is not being decided for one reason or the other. Even the provisional pension is also not being paid to the petitioner. How a retired employee to whom pension is not paid since last 2 ½ years can survive without pension. The respondent authorities must take into account all these points and decide the case of the petitioner. Therefore, respondent No.2 shall decide the pension case of the

P.T.O.

XI-HC-78

(20)

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक W.P.4606/ **सन्** 2006

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश -2-	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
Soma	petitioner within a period of two months from the date of receipt of a copy of this order and ensure that all payments are made to the petitioner within a period of one month from the date of decision of the pension. Respondent No.6, the Joint Director, Treasury & Accounts, Bilaspur, is also directed to ensure that the pension case of the petitioner is examined and processed expeditiously and the same is decided in accordance with the rules, within a period of two months from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. With the directions aforesaid, the petition stands disposed of. Parties are entitled for certified copy of this order. Sd/- L.C.Bhadoo Judge	

P.T.O.